

**Bruno Cesar Cayres Andrade**

**Nonlinear dynamic analysis of dry  
friction-induced torsional vibration  
in a drill-string experimental set-up**

**TESE DE DOUTORADO**

**DEPARTAMENTO DE ENGENHARIA MECÂNICA**  
Programa de Pós-graduação em Engenharia  
Mecânica



**Bruno Cesar Cayres Andrade**

**Nonlinear dynamic analysis of dry  
friction-induced torsional vibration in a  
drill-string experimental set-up**

**Tese de Doutorado**

Thesis presented to the Programa de Pós-graduação em Engenharia Mecânica of PUC-Rio in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doutor em Ciência – Engenharia Mecânica.

Advisor: Prof. Hans Ingo Weber

Rio de Janeiro  
August 2018



**Bruno Cesar Cayres Andrade**

**Nonlinear dynamic analysis of dry  
friction-induced torsional vibration in a  
drill-string experimental set-up**

Thesis presented to the Programa de Pós-graduação em Engenharia Mecânica of PUC-Rio in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doutor em Ciência – Engenharia Mecânica. Approved by the undersigned Examination Committee.

**Prof. Hans Ingo Weber**

Advisor

Departamento de Engenharia Mecânica – PUC-Rio

**Profa. Kátia Lucchesi Cavalca Dedini**

Faculdade de Engenharia Mecânica – Unicamp

**Prof. Alberto Paiva**

Departamento de Engenharia Mecânica – UFF

**Prof. Thiago Gamboa Ritto**

Departamento de Engenharia Mecânica – UFRJ

**Dr. Romulo Reis Aguiar**

Brazil Research & Geoenvironmental Center – Schlumberger Ltd.

**Prof. Helon Vicente Hultmann Ayala**

Departamento de Engenharia Mecânica – PUC-Rio

**Prof. Márcio da Silveira Carvalho**

Vice Dean of Graduate Studies

Centro Técnico Científico – PUC-Rio

Rio de Janeiro, August 10th, 2018

All rights reserved.

### **Bruno Cesar Cayres Andrade**

The author was born in June 14th, 1988 in Belém - Pa, Brazil. In 2006, he started to study Mechanical Engineering at Universidade Federal do Pará (UFPA), Brazil, and graduated in 2011. For his performance, the author won the Kawaguchi Awards - which is an awards to the best student. Meanwhile, in 2009-2010, he partially studied at *Institut Polytechnique de Grenoble* (INP-G) - France. His master's dissertation was entitled "Numerical and experimental analysis of nonlinear torsional dynamics of a drilling system" and was conducted under supervision of Prof. Dr.-Ing. Hans I. Weber and Dr. Romulo R. Aguiar at PUC-Rio. In the same university, in September 2013, he started his doctoral research in Applied Mechanics under supervision of Prof. Dr.-Ing. Hans I. Weber. The results and contributions are presented in this thesis which focused in nonlinear dynamics of the drill-string experimental set-up. Since 2013, the author is lecturer at CEFET/RJ.

#### Bibliographic data

Andrade, Bruno Cesar Cayres

Nonlinear dynamic analysis of dry friction-induced torsional vibration in a drill-string experimental set-up / Bruno Cesar Cayres Andrade; advisor: Hans Ingo Weber. – 2018.  
101 f.: il. color. ; 30 cm

Tese (doutorado) – Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro, Departamento de Engenharia Mecânica.  
Inclui bibliografia.

1. Engenharia Mecânica – Teses. 2. Dinâmica de coluna de perfuração. 3. Fenômeno de stick-slip. 4. Dinâmica não linear. 5. Análise de estabilidade. 6. Estratégia de mitigação. I. Weber, Hans Ingo. II. Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro. Departamento de Engenharia Mecânica. III. Título.

CDD: 621

*Aos que não tiveram (e talvez nunca terão) acesso à beleza do aprender.*

## Acknowledgments

*Eu começo meus agradecimentos expressando meu amor e gratidão aos meus pais (meus heróis), Laury e Gracineide Andrade, que me criaram e me deram tudo o que puderam com amor incondicional. Pessoas que superaram as dificuldades da vida com muito trabalho e persistências desde muito jovens. Também à minha irmã, Camila, com quem eu tenho partilhado minha vida nos momentos bons e ruins. Tenho a absoluta certeza que eles estiveram e estarão ao meu lado sempre. Aos demais membros da minha família que sempre me apoiaram nesta jornada.*

My beloved fiancée Bárbara played a great part in this thesis. She was always supporting me, giving me strength and motivation to proceed ahead. With her, I discovered that a relationship is much more than love and affection. She is my partner and friend. Surely, I could not face this endeavor without her.

In the meantime, many happy moments occurred. Among them, the births of my godchildren, Evellin, Iuri (5 years old) and Gustavo (2 years old). Little people to whom I intend to be an example.

I would like to express my gratitude and admiration for my advisor. Before I postulate to the master's degree at PUC-Rio, I tried to identify the references in the area of my particular interest. Prof. Dr.-Ing. Hans Ingo Weber appeared among the influential names in dynamics (multi-body systems, drilling systems, nonlinear dynamics, rotating systems, impact, and others related areas). As soon as I arrived in PUC-Rio, I sought him to be my mentor and, fortunately, he welcomed me. I learned (and I am still learning) a lot about research, dynamics and teaching. He is an example of person, researcher, and professor which I will always try to mirror me. Certainly I will keep in touch to continue improving myself, personally and professionally. I just have to thank him a lot for the patience, friendship, advices and knowledge during these 7 years.

I acknowledge to my long-time friends Amanda Pinheiro, Hamilton Cavalcante, and Romulo Pimentel, for their friendship since our childhood. To the northern community (from Pará to be precise) in Rio de Janeiro: Felipe Alfaia, Isabelle Baker, Jordane Beltrão, Luiza Araujo, Moura's family, Jahnne Brandão and the others, for the remarkable funny moments and cultural approach. To Lemos' family (Renato, Rosângela and their children) for funny moments, advices and friendship.

I am grateful to my friends Americo Cunha Jr., Felipe Nobre, Marilene Martins, Sergio Gutierrez, Miguel Ampuero, and Ronald Marcos for their partnership, support and lighthearted moments. To my friends Andrés Rodriguez,

Mario Sandoval that, even far, added with technical discussions, support and advices. Also, to my friend Fernanda Ohashi for always being willing to help me, reading and suggesting improvements for the clarity of the thesis.

During this thesis, I went through professional and personal difficult moments. Maria Isabel Navarro, Eliana Marín and Julian Andrés García, in solidarity, opened the door of their house and took me in when I could not afford a place close to the university. My sincerely gratitude today, tomorrow and forever.

To my friends and partners at work, Cesar A. Fonseca, Guilherme Sampaio and Leonardo D. Pereira that played a great role in this thesis with experimental analysis in the test rig set-up. Thank you very much. I also thank my friends Luiz Fernando N. Marques and Abel A. Castro for their partnership: friends for personal and professional life.

My gratitude to Mr. Wagner da Cruz, who was extremely important for the successful of this work. He was always helping with the experimental part and giving me advices.

I would like to thank Prof. D.Sc. Ivan F. M. Menezes for the many advices and support during the last years of this thesis, always understandable, friendly and attentive. An inspiring person with who I will certainly keep a good friendship.

After master's degree, I have got a position i the mechanical engineering staff of CEFET/RJ. Since then, I grateful to the friends I have made. In special to Daduí Guerrieri, Joanes Dias, Julien Mauprivez and Jôneo Nascimento.

To the new friend that helped me in the final revisions of the thesis, Ingrid Pires, who I have worked with. A person with a big heart willing to help and motivate when it is necessary.

I would like to take this opportunity to acknowledge the Department of Mechanical Engineering at PUC-Rio and CAPES for the financial support.

## Abstract

Andrade, Bruno Cesar Cayres; Weber, Hans Ingo (Advisor). **Non-linear dynamic analysis of dry friction-induced torsional vibration in a drill-string experimental set-up**. Rio de Janeiro, 2018. 101p. Tese de Doutorado - Departamento de Engenharia Mecânica, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro.

The latter round bids of the pre-salt for exploration and production of oil and natural gas in Brazil indicate the drilling operations will become more intense in coming years. The rotational drilling process is largely used to reach the oil reservoirs and because of diameter-to-length ratio of the drilling system, torsional vibration mode is present in most all drilling processes and may reach an undesired severe stage: the stick-slip phenomenon. In order to address this problem, the torsional vibration mode is isolated and the stick-slip is observed in a fully instrumented drill-string experimental set-up in this work. During this phenomenon, another torque may be applied on an intermediate position of the test bench. The lumped parameter mathematical model is obtained and it is compared to experimental data to validate whether the mathematical model represents the experimental apparatus. A stability analysis is performed using the validated mathematical model in order to identify stable solutions of the system. Therewith, one observed that there is a range of the bifurcation parameter in which stable equilibrium and periodic solutions may coexist. For a given stick-slip situation in bi-stability range, two mitigation strategies of torsional vibration were considered which consisted of imposing perturbations in the system via torques on the intermediate position of the test bench: (i) torques applied only against the direction of motion of the system, and (ii) torques applied in both directions. The strategies were tested numerically and presented efficiency so that the stick-slip was completely mitigated: the energies of the system and the work created by the intermediate torque were compared in order evaluate the feasibility and reasonableness of the strategy. Experimentally, the system continued to oscillate, however it presented a significant reduction of stick phase even with limitations of torque applications.

## Keywords

Drill-string dynamics; Stick-slip phenomenon; Nonlinear dynamics; Stability analysis; Mitigation strategy.

## Resumo

Andrade, Bruno Cesar Cayres; Weber, Hans Ingo (Orientador). **Análise da dinâmica não linear de uma bancada experimental de uma coluna de perfuração com vibração torcional induzida por atrito.** Rio de Janeiro, 2018. 101p. Tese de Doutorado - Departamento de Engenharia Mecânica, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro.

Os últimos leilões do pré-sal para exploração e produção de petróleo e gás no Brasil indicam que as operações de perfuração se tornarão mais intensas nos próximos anos. O processo de perfuração rotativo é amplamente utilizado para alcançar os reservatórios de petróleo e devido à relação diâmetro/comprimento do sistema de perfuração, o modo de vibração torcional está presente em quase todos os processos de perfuração, podendo chegar a um estado crítico indesejável: o fenômeno de *stick-slip*. Com o intuito de abordar este problema, o modo torcional é isolado e o *stick-slip* é observado em uma coluna de perfuração em escala reduzida completamente instrumentada. Durante o *stick-slip*, outro torque pode ser aplicado em uma posição intermediária da bancada de teste. O modelo matemático de parâmetros concentrados é obtido e o modelo é comparado com dados experimentais com o propósito de verificar se o modelo matemático representa o aparato experimental. Uma análise de estabilidade é feita usando o modelo validado com o objetivo de identificar soluções estáveis do sistema. Com isso, observou-se que existe uma faixa do parâmetro de bifurcação na qual soluções de equilíbrio e periódicas estáveis coexistem. Para uma dada situação de *stick-slip* na faixa de biestabilidade, duas estratégias de mitigação de vibração torcional foram consideradas e consistiram em impor perturbações no sistema por meio do torque na posição intermediária da bancada de teste: (i) torques aplicados apenas contra a direção de movimento do sistema, e (ii) torques aplicados em ambas as direções. As estratégias foram testadas numericamente e apresentaram eficiência de tal modo que o *stick-slip* foi completamente mitigado: as energias do sistema e o trabalho gerado pelo torque intermediário aplicado foram comparados com o propósito de avaliar a factibilidade e razoabilidade da estratégia. Experimentalmente, o sistema continuou a oscilar, porém apresentou uma significativa redução na fase de *stick* mesmo com limitações de aplicações de torque.

## Palavras-chave

Dinâmica de coluna de perfuração; Fenômeno de stick-slip; Dinâmica não linear; Análise de estabilidade; Estratégia de mitigação.

## Table of contents

1	General introduction	<b>18</b>
1.1	Drilling systems	21
1.1.1	Dynamics of drilling systems	23
1.1.2	Torsional vibration and stick-slip phenomenon	25
1.1.3	Other drilling system applications	26
1.2	Objectives of the thesis	27
1.3	Main contributions	28
1.4	Outline of the thesis	29
1.5	Recommendation to cite this work	29
2	Literature review	<b>30</b>
2.1	Modeling of drilling system dynamics	30
2.2	Friction-induced vibrations	37
2.3	Summary	40
3	Drill-string experimental set-up	<b>42</b>
3.1	Description of the experimental set-up	42
3.1.1	Sensors and instrumentation	45
3.1.2	Parameter identification	46
3.2	Development of the dry friction device	51
3.2.1	First device: bicycle brake	52
3.2.2	Second device: pins	53
3.3	Summary	54
4	Modeling of the test rig set-up	<b>56</b>
4.1	Equations of motion	56
4.2	Model validation	59
4.3	DC-motor dynamics	62
4.4	Stability analysis	63
4.4.1	Equilibrium points	65
4.4.2	Local stability analysis	66
4.4.3	Bifurcation diagrams	69
4.5	Summary	71
5	Mitigation strategy for the drill-string experimental set-up	<b>74</b>
5.1	Numerical results	74
5.1.1	First mitigation strategy	76
5.1.2	Second mitigation strategy	78
5.2	Experimental results	80
5.3	Summary	83
6	Concluding remarks	<b>85</b>
6.1	Landscape of the thesis	85
6.2	Contributions and conclusions of the thesis	85

6.3	Directions for future works	87
6.4	Publications	89
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>90</b>
A	Coefficients of the characteristic polynomial	<b>101</b>

## List of figures

Figure 1.1	Oil prices vs supply/demand [1].	18
Figure 1.2	Total petroleum consumption of some South American countries [2].	19
Figure 1.3	Brazil and China total petroleum consumption [2].	19
Figure 1.4	Brazil oil production history. Source: author based on [3].	20
Figure 1.5	Pre-salt area in Brazilian coast [3].	20
Figure 1.6	Directional and vertical oil well configuration. Adapted from [14].	22
Figure 1.7	Directional drilling to reach oil reservoir targets under a housing area.	23
Figure 1.8	Types of directional oil wells. Adapted from [22].	23
Figure 1.9	Scheme of the types of vibration modes on drill-string. Adapted from [14].	24
Figure 1.10	Types of failures: (A) ductile; (B) fragile; (C) stress corrosion cracking and (D) fatigue [13].	25
Figure 1.11	Stick-slip oscillation with completely standstill of the bit [30]. The red and dashed lines represent the surface and downhole angular velocities, respectively.	26
Figure 3.1	Drill-string experimental set-up. (a) Disc 1 ( $R_1$ ) and brake device 1, (b) Disc 2 ( $R_2$ ) and brake device 2 and (c) DC-motor [87].	43
Figure 3.2	Discs, brake devices and measure components: 1, 5 - the discs $R_1$ and $R_2$ ; 2, 6 - incremental encoders; 3, 8 - load cells; 4, 7 - contact pins; 9 - servo motor [87].	44
Figure 3.3	Schematic diagram of the test bench. Adapted from [68].	44
Figure 3.4	Measurement equipment: (a) incremental encoder, (b) load cell at $R_1$ , (c) load cell at $R_2$ , and (d) schema of the DC-motor torque measurement and the load cell.	45
Figure 3.5	Experimental components: (a) acquisition device and (b) servo controller.	46
Figure 3.6	Relation between torque and angular displacement.	47
Figure 3.7	Measured data of a free vibration around 30 <i>rpm</i> from test rig. In (a) time response and (b) frequency response function.	48
Figure 3.8	Mechanical model of the test rig.	48
Figure 3.9	Free time response of (a) disc 1 and (b) disc 2.	49
Figure 3.10	Angular speed of the Disc 1 ( $R_1$ ) to given a rotation and applied resistive torque. In (a) $\mu_k = 0.358$ , (b) $\mu_k = 0.322$ , (c) $\mu_k = 0.349$ , and (d) $\mu_k = 0.342$ .	52
Figure 3.11	First device: (a) brake disc and $R_1$ , and (b) brake pads, brake disc, load cell and servo controller [15].	53
Figure 3.12	Ball joint of the pin in the second friction device.	54
Figure 3.13	Manufactured pins of (a) polymer (POM), (b) brass, (c) steel, and (d) aluminum.	54

- Figure 4.1 Graphic representation of (a) the discontinuous friction model and (b) the adopted friction model. 59
- Figure 4.2 Numerical and experimental angular velocity of (a)  $R_1$  and (b)  $R_2$  for  $3.14 \text{ rad/s}$  ( $30 \text{ rpm}$ ). Continuous gray and dashed black lines contain experimental and numerical results respectively. 60
- Figure 4.3 Numerical and experimental angular velocity (a)  $\dot{\theta}_1$  and (b)  $\dot{\theta}_2$  for  $4.19 \text{ rad/s}$  ( $40 \text{ rpm}$ ). Continuous gray and dashed black lines contain experimental and numerical results respectively. 61
- Figure 4.4 Test rig response for  $3.14 \text{ rad/s}$  ( $30 \text{ rpm}$ ) with (a) stick-slip and (b) stick-slip zoomed.  $N_1 = 25.0 \text{ N}$  and  $T_{r_2} = 0.0 \text{ Nm}$ . Continuous gray and dashed black lines contain experimental and numerical results, respectively. 62
- Figure 4.5 Free oscillations of the angular velocity of the DC-motor  $\dot{\theta}_3$  for different reference velocities. Continuous gray and dashed black lines contain experimental and numerical results, respectively. 63
- Figure 4.6 Experimental angular velocities of Disc 1, Disc 2, and DC-motor for  $\omega_{ref} = 3.0 \text{ rad/s}$ . 64
- Figure 4.7 Numerical angular velocity of Disc  $R_1$  and the armature current  $i$  of the DC-motor. For time  $t < 50 \text{ s}$ ,  $N_1 = 0.0 \text{ N}$ ; for time  $t \geq 50 \text{ s}$ ,  $N_1 = 25 \text{ N}$ . Reference speed is  $\omega_{ref} = 3.0 \text{ rad/s}$ . 64
- Figure 4.8 Graphic illustration of the local stability analysis via Hurwitz criterion for (a)  $N_1 = 10.0 \text{ N}$ , and (b)  $N_1 = 20.0 \text{ N}$ . Continuous and dashed lines mean asymptotically local stable and unstable, respectively. 68
- Figure 4.9 Local stability analysis of the equilibrium branch (Eq. 4-16) via Hurwitz criterion for (a)  $N_1 = 10.0 \text{ N}$ , and (b)  $N_1 = 20.0 \text{ N}$ . Continuous and dashed lines mean locally stable and unstable branches, respectively. 69
- Figure 4.10 Imaginary-axis crossing of a conjugate eigenvalue of the Jacobian matrix for different values of  $\omega^*$  (in  $\text{rad/s}$ ) and  $N_1 = 10.0 \text{ N}$ . 69
- Figure 4.11 (a) Torsional vibration map and (b) time response of the Disc 1 ( $R_1$ ) for  $2 \text{ rad/s}$  (upper) and  $4 \text{ rad/s}$  (lower).  $N_1 = 0.0 \text{ N}$  at  $0 < t < 50$  and  $N_1 = 20.0 \text{ N}$  at  $t > 50$ . 70
- Figure 4.12 Numerical bifurcation diagram of the experimental set-up model with  $\omega_{ref}$  as bifurcation parameter for  $N_1 = 10.0 \text{ N}$  and  $T_{r_2} = 0.0 \text{ Nm}$ . Continuous and dashed lines mean locally stable and unstable branches, respectively. The equilibrium branches are denoted as  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ , whereas the periodic branches are denoted as  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . 71

Figure 4.13 Numerical bifurcation diagram of the experimental set-up model with $\omega_{ref}$ as bifurcation parameter for $N_1 = 20.0$ N and $T_{r_2} = 0.0$ Nm. Continuous and dashed lines mean locally stable and unstable branches, respectively. The equilibrium branches are denoted as $e_1$ and $e_2$ , whereas the periodic branches are denoted as $p_1$ and $p_2$	72
Figure 5.1 Basins of attraction for (a) $\omega_{ref} = 2.0$ rad/s and (b) $\omega_{ref} = 2.9$ rad/s. The applied forces $N_1 = 10.0$ N and $T_{r_2} = 0.0$ Nm. The white and black regions mean equilibria and periodic solutions, respectively.	76
Figure 5.2 Relative kinetic and potential energies as function of time. $N_1 = 10.0$ N, $T_{r_2} = 0.0$ Nm, and $\omega_{ref} = 2.5$ rad/s.	77
Figure 5.3 Influence of the mitigation strategy (a) on $\dot{\theta}_1$ and (b) on $\dot{\theta}_2$ . $N_1 = 10.0$ N, $N_2 = 1.0$ N, and $\omega_{ref} = 2.5$ rad/s.	78
Figure 5.4 Influence of the mitigation strategy (a) on $\dot{\theta}_1$ and (b) on $\dot{\theta}_2$ . $N_1 = 10.0$ N, $N_2 = 5.0$ N, and $\omega_{ref} = 2.5$ rad/s.	78
Figure 5.5 Influence of the mitigation strategy (a) on the relative kinetic energies over time, (b) on the potential energies over time for $N_1 = 10.0$ N, $N_2 = 5.0$ N, and $\omega_{ref} = 2.5$ rad/s. The mitigation strategy is applied at $t = 100$ s and removed at $t = 150$ s.	79
Figure 5.6 Influence of the mitigation strategy (a) on the kinetic energies over time, (b) on the potential energies over time for $N_1 = 10.0$ N, $N_2 = 1.0$ N, and $\omega_{ref} = 2.5$ rad/s. The mitigation strategy is applied at $t = 100$ s and removed at $t = 150$ s.	81
Figure 5.7 Influence of the mitigation strategy (a) on $\dot{\theta}_1$ and (b) on $\dot{\theta}_2$ . $N_1 = 10.0$ N, $N_2 = 1.0$ N, and $\omega_{ref} = 2.5$ rad/s.	82
Figure 5.8 Influence of the mitigation strategy on the $\dot{\theta}_1$ , $\dot{\theta}_2$ , and $N_2$ application. $N_1 = 10$ N and $\omega_{ref} = 2.0$ rad/s.	82
Figure 5.9 Influence of the mitigation strategy on the $\dot{\theta}_1$ , $\dot{\theta}_2$ , and $N_2$ application. $N_1 = 10$ N and $\omega_{ref} = 2.5$ rad/s.	83

## List of tables

Table 1.1	Installed electric capacity of geothermal power stations in 2015 [37].	27
Table 3.1	Electrical parameters of DC-motor.	44
Table 3.2	Moments of inertia of the discs.	46
Table 3.3	Static test and stiffness estimations.	47
Table 3.4	Damping ration estimation.	50
Table 3.5	Identification of the static friction coefficient.	50
Table 4.1	Mechanical parameters of test rig.	60
Table 4.2	Quantitative comparison between experimental and numerical of $\dot{\theta}_1$ .	62

## Nomenclature

### List of abbreviations

APL	amplitude pulse level
BHA	bottom hole assembly
SRPM	surface rotation per minute
WOB	weight on bit

### List of symbols

$\delta_{12}$	angular phase between $R_1 - R_2$
$\delta_{23}$	angular phase between $R_2 - R_3$
$\dot{\theta}_1$	angular velocity of $R_1$
$\dot{\theta}_2$	angular speed of $R_2$
$\dot{\theta}_3$	angular speed of $R_3$
$\dot{\theta}_m$	angular velocity of the $J_m$
$\eta$	transmission factor
$\mathbb{H}^*$	Hurwitz matrix
$\mathbb{J}^*$	Jacobian matrix
$\mu_k$	kinetic coefficient of friction
$\mu_s$	static coefficient of friction
$\omega_{ref}$	reference angular velocity
$\tau_m$	motor output torque
$\tau_s$	torque provided by the DC-motor
$\theta_1$	angular displacement of $R_1$
$\theta_2$	angular displacement of $R_2$
$\theta_3$	angular displacement of $R_3$
$\xi_i$	damping ratio (1,2)
$C_m$	speed regulation constant of the DC-motor
$D_1$	diameter of Disc 1
$D_2$	diameter of Disc 2
$d_1$	damping between $R_1 - R_2$

$d_2$	damping between $R_2 - R_3$
$E_{k_i}$	relative kinetic energy of Disc $i$ (1,2)
$E_{p_i}$	relative kinetic energy of spring $k_i$ (1, 2)
$g$	gravitational acceleration
$i$	electric current of the DC-motor
$J_1$	inertia of the Disc 1
$J_2$	inertia of the Disc 2
$J_3$	inertia of the motor including the transmission factor
$J_m$	inertia of the motor
$K_E$	voltage constant of the DC-motor
$k_i$	integral constant of the DC-motor
$k_p$	proportional constant of the DC-motor
$K_T$	torque constant of the DC-motor
$k_1$	stiffness of the shaft between $R_1 - R_2$
$k_2$	stiffness of the shaft between $R_2 - R_3$
$L$	armature inductance of the DC-motor
$m_1$	mass of Disc 1
$m_2$	mass of Disc 2
$N_1$	normal force at Disc 1
$N_2$	normal force at Disc 2
$P_C$	Pearson correlation coefficient
$R$	armature resistance of the DC-motor
$R_1$	Disc 1
$r_1$	distance between the contact point of the pin and the geometric center of rotation of disc $R_1$
$R_2$	Disc 2
$r_2$	distance between the contact point of the pin and the geometric center of rotation of disc $R_2$
$R_3$	Disc 3 (DC-motor)
$T_f$	internal friction torque of the DC-motor
$T_{r_1}$	torque on Disc 1
$T_{r_2}$	torque on Disc 2

*A vaidade cega a sabedoria.*

**Matias Aires.**