

8.

Referências

- 1 ABIOVE - Associação Brasileira de Indústrias de Óleos Vegetais. Estatísticas do complexo da soja. Disponível em: <<http://www.abiove.com.br>> Acesso: 1. out. 2005.
- 2 AHUJA, R. **Network flows**. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 1993.
- 3 AKINC, V. Multi-activity facility design and location problems. **Management Science**. Vol. 31, no. 3. USA, 1985.
- 4 AKINC, V.; KHUMAWALA, B.M. An efficient Branch and Bound Algorithm for the capacitated warehouse location problem. **Management Science**. Vol. 23, no. 6. USA, 1977.
- 5 ALBERTO, P. The logistics of industrial location decisions: an application of the analytic hierarchy process methodology. **International Journal of Logistics: Research and Applications**, v. 3, n. 3, p.273-289, 2000.
- 6 ALEMANY,J. 1997 Por un Desarrollo Sustentable de la ciudad Portuaria. In: **Asociación Internacional ciudades y puertos**. Montividéu.
- 7 ANDERSON, D. **An introduction to management science – quantitative approaches to decision making**. 4th ed. Minesota: West Publishing Company, 758 p. 1985.
- 8 BADRI, M. Combining the analytic hierarchy process and goal programming for global facility location-allocation problem. **International Journal of Production Economics**, n. 62, p. 237-248, 1999.
- 9 BADRI, M.; DAVIS,D; DAVIS,D. Decision support models for the location of firms in industrial sites. **International Journal of Operation & Production Management**. V.15, n.1, p. 50-62, 1995.
- 10 BALLINSKI, M.L. Integer programming: Methods, Uses and Computation. **Management Science**. 12, p. 253-313. 1965
- 11 BALLAS,E.; PADBERG, M.W. Set partitioning: a survey. **SIAM Review**, n. 18, 710-760, 1976.
- 12 BARCELO, J.; CASANOVAS, J. A heuristic lagrangean algorithm for the capacitated plant location problem. **European Journal of Operational Research**, n. 15, p. 212-226, 1984.

- 13 BNDES SETORIAL. **O ciclo da soja: Desempenho da cultura da soja entre 1961 e 2000**. Rio de Janeiro, n.20, p 127-222, 2004.
- 14 BOUDOUIN, D. Logística – território – desenvolvimento: o caso europeu. In: SEMINÁRIO INTERNACIONAL: LOGÍSTICA, TRANSPORTES E DESENVOLVIMENTO, 1., 1996, Ceará. **Anais eletrônicos**. Disponível em: <www.zal.es>. Acesso em: 1 set. 2003.
- 15 BOWERSOX,D.; CLOSS,D. **Logística Empresarial. O processo de integração da cadeia de suprimentos**. São Paulo: Ed. Atlas. 2001.
- 16 BRANDÃO,A.S.P; REZENDE,G.C; MARQUES,R. Crescimento agrícola no período 1999-2004, explosão da área plantada com soja e meio ambiente no Brasil. Rio de Janeiro: IPEA – Instituto de Pesquisas Econômicas aplicadas, Texto para discussão n. 1062, 2005.
- 17 BRANDEAU,M.; CHIU, S. An overview of representative problems in location research. **Management Science**, vol.35, no. 6, p 645-674, 1989.
- 18 BRASIL. Receita Federal. Decreto n.º 4.543, de 26 de dezembro de 2002, alterado pelo Decreto n.º 4.765, de 24 de junho de 2003. Regulamenta a administração das atividades aduaneiras. **Diário Oficial [da] Republica Federativa do Brasil**.
- 19 BRASIL. Ministério dos Transportes. Lei nº 9.611, de dezenove de fevereiro de 1998. Regulamenta a operação de transporte Multimodal. **Diário Oficial [da] Republica Federativa do Brasil**.
- 20 BROWN,G. Design and operation a multi-commodity production/distribution System using primal goal decomposition. **Management science**, v.33, no.11, nov. 1987.
- 21 BULHÕES,R.;CAIXETA FILHO,J.V. **Análise da distribuição logística da Soja na Região Centro-Sul do Brasil através de um modelo de equilíbrio espacial**. Passo Fundo: Teoria da evidência Econômica, vol. 8, n.15, p. 11-23, nov. 2000.
- 22 CAIXETA-FILHO,J.V.; MARTINS,R. **Gestão Logística do transporte de cargas**. São Paulo: Ed. Atlas, p. 296, 2001.
- 23 CAIXETA-FILHO,J.V. **Sistema de informações de fretes para cargas agrícolas: concepção e aplicações**. Revista Brasileira de Agroinformática. v.1,n.1, p.41-52, 1998.
- 24 CALIPER CORPORATION. **Transcad: User's guide**. Boston, 2000.
- 25 CAMPBELL,J. One-to-many distribution with transshipments: an analytic model. **Transportation Science**. v. 27, no.4, nov. 1993.

- 26 CAMPBELL, J. Hub location and the p-hub median problem. **Operation research**, vol. 44, no. 6, p 923-935, 1996.
- 27 CONAB – COMPANHIA NACIONAL DE ABASTECIMENTO. Indicadores da agropecuária. Disponível em: www.conab.gov.br 28 jul. 2004.
- 28 CANEL, C.; KHUMAWALA, B. M. A mixed-integer programming approach for the international facilities location problem. **International Journal of Operations & Production Management**, v. 16, n. 4, p. 49-68, 1996.
- 29 CANEL, C.; KHUMAWALA, B. M. Multi-period international facilities location: an algorithm and application. **International Journal of Production Research**, v. 35, n. 7, p. 1.891-1.919, 1997.
- 30 CORNUEJOLS, G.; FISHER, M.L.; NEMHAUSER, G.L. Location of bank accounts to optimize float: na analytic study of exact and approximate algorithms. **Management Science**. N. 23, p 789-810, 1977.
- 31 CRAINIC, T.; ROUSSEAU, J.M. Multicommodity multimode freight transportation: A general modeling and algorithmic framework for the service networking design problem. **Transportation research**. B, 20, p 225-242.
- 32 CRAINIC, T.; LAPORTE, G. Planning models for freight transportation. **European Journal of Operational Research**, n. 97, p. 409-438, 1997.
- 33 CRISTOPHIDES, N.; BEASLEY, J.E. Extensions to a Lagrangian relaxation approach for the capacitated warehouse location problem. **European Journal of Operational Research**, n.12, p 19-28, 1983.
- 34 CSX REAL PROPERTY. Development of an integrated logistics center in Winter Haven, Florida. **HDR/HLD Decisions Economics Inc.**, 2006.
- 35 CURRENT, J.; SCHILLING, D. **Facility location modeling**. Ohio: Ohio State University Press, 1990. (Working Paper Series).
- 36 CVRD – Companhia Vale do Rio Doce. Indústria – Soja e Farelo de Soja para Exportação. São Paulo. 2005, não paginado. Relatório técnico.
- 37 DALMAU, R.; ROBUSTÉ, F. Um nuevo concepto de plataforma logística urbana. In: **CONGRESO DE INGENIERÍA DEL TRANSPORTES**, 5., 2002 Santander – Espanha: CIT 2002.
- 38 DALTO, E.J. **Ferramenta de simulação para auxiliar o produtor brasileiro de soja no desenvolvimento de estratégia logística e financeira de comercialização de uma safra do produto a granel.**

Tese (Doutorado em Administração). Programa de Pós-graduação em Administração - COPPEAD, Universidade Federal de Rio de Janeiro. Rio de Janeiro, 2003.

- 39 DASKIN, M. **Network and discrete location: models, algorithms and applications**. New York: John Wiley & Sons (Series in discrete mathematics and optimization). 1995.
- 40 De LANGEN, P. W. Trends and opportunities for the long-term development of Rotterdam's port complex. **Coastal Management**, n. 33, p. 215-224, 2005.
- 41 DERSA. **PDDT Vivo 2000/2020: relatório executivo**. São Paulo: Secretaria de Transportes do Estado de São Paulo, [1999?]
- 42 DERSA. **PDDT Vivo 2000/2020: O CLI e as questões correlatas**. São Paulo: Secretaria de Transportes do Estado de São Paulo, [1999?]
- 43 DUARTE, P. **Modelo para o desenvolvimento de plataforma logística em um terminal**. Um estudo de caso na estação aduaneira do interior - Itajaí/SC. Dissertação de mestrado. UFSC.1999.
- 44 DUARTE, J. E. C. **Distribuição e logística na União Européia**. In: Informação Internacional. Análise Econômica e Política. 2002. Disponível em: <<http://www.dpp.pt>>. Acesso em: 1 out. 2003.
- 45 DREZNER, R.; HAMACHER, H. (Ed.). **Facility location: applications and theory**. Berlin: Verlag publishing. 2002.
- 46 DUARTE, P. **Modelo para o desenvolvimento de plataforma logística em um terminal: um estudo de caso na estação aduaneira do interior**. Dissertação (Mestrado em Engenharia de Produção) – Programa de Pós-Graduação em Engenharia de Produção, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, 1999.
- 47 DUTRA, N. et al. As plataformas logísticas e suas relações com operadores logísticos – cenários e tendências. In: CONGRESSO DA ASSOCIACAO NACIONAL DE PESQUISA E ENSINO EM TRANSPORTES - ANPET, 15., 1999 (?), Rio de Janeiro. **Anais do XV Congresso da ANPET**, Rio de Janeiro: ANPET, 1999 CD ROM
- 48 EILON, S.; WATSON-GANDY,C.D.T; CHRISTOFIDES,N. **Distribution Management: Mathematical modeling and practical analysis**. Griffin, London. 1971.
- 49 EMBRAPA. **Tecnologias de produção de soja – Paraná**. Londrina: Embrapa Soja, 2002.

- 50 EUROCENTRE- **Pôle logistique de l'Europe du sur**. Disponível em: <www.eurocentre.fr>. Acesso em 10 nov. 2003.
- 51 EUROPLATAFORMS - GEIE Yearbook (1996). Disponível em: <www.freight-village.com.br>. Acesso em: 2 ago. 2003.
- 52 FAVARET FILHO, P.; SIQUEIRA, S.H.G.; de PAULA, S.R.L. **Agropecuária e Agroindústria**. In: BNDES setorial: Edição Especial. BNDES, Rio de Janeiro, 1997.
- 53 FAGEDA, X. **Load centers in the Mediterranean port range**: ports hub and ports gateway. Public policies and economic regulations research unit. Barcelona: University of Barcelona Press. p.1-18. [s.n]
- 54 FOS-DISTRIPORT: **euro-mediterram logistics-center**. Disponível em: <www.marseille-port.fr>. Acesso em: 10 nov. 2003.
- 55 FOURER, R. et al. **AMPL – a modeling language for mathematical programming**. Massachusetts: ITP Publishing, 1993.
- 56 FRANKFORT-NACHMIAS,C.;NACHIMIAS,D. **Research methods in the social sciences**, Ed. Arnold, Fifth ed., London, Uk. 1996.
- 57 GAMEIRO,A.H. Índices de Preço para o transporte de cargas: O caso da soja a granel. Tese (Doutorado em Ciências - Economia aplicada). ESALQ . Piracicaba. Universidade de São Paulo. 2003.
- 58 GENDRON, B. Tabu search with exact neighbor evaluation for multicommodity location with balancing requirements. **INFOR**, v. 37, n. 3, p. 255-270, Aug. 1999.
- 59 GEOFFRION, A. M.; GRAVES,G.W. Multicommodity distribution system design by benders decomposition. **Management Science**, v. 20, n. 5, p. 822-844, Jan. 1974.
- 60 GUIGNARD;M.; SPILBERG,K. A direct dual method for the mixed plant location problem. **Mathematical programming**. n.17, p. 198-228, 1979.
- 61 GOEBEL,D. A competitividade externa e a logística doméstica. In: **O desafio das exportações**. BNDES. 1 ed. Rio de Janeiro. 2002
- 62 HAKIMI, S.L. Optimal locations of switching centers and the absolute centers and medians of a graph. **Operation Research**. n. 12, p 450-459.1964.
- 63 HALL, R. (Ed.). Handbook of transportation science. **International series in operation research and management science**: n.23. Massachusetts: 1999.

- 64 HARKNESS, J.; REVELLE, C. Facility location with increasing production costs. **European Journal of Operational Research**, n. 145, p. 1-13, 2003.
- 65 HAYUT, Y. Containerization and the load center concept. **Economic Geography**, v. 57, n. 2, p. 160-176, 1981.
- 66 HEIZER, J. **Administração de operações – Bens e serviços**. São Paulo: Ed. LTC. 1999.
- 67 HELFAND, S.; REZENDE, G. **Padrões regionais de crescimento da produção de grãos no Brasil e o papel da Região Centro-Oeste**. Rio de Janeiro: IPEA – Instituto de Pesquisas Econômicas aplicadas, Texto para discussão n. 731, 2000.
- 68 HILLER, F. S.; LIEBERMAN, G. J. **Introduction to operational research**. 4th ed. Oakland: Holden-Day
- 69 HILJAR, M.F. Logística, soja e comércio internacional. Disponível em: <www.cel.coppead.ufrj.br> Acesso em 19 dez. 2005.
- 70 HOFFMAN, J.; SCHNIEDERJANS, M. A two-stage model for structuring global facility site selection decisions. The case of the Brewing Industry. **International Journal of Operation & Production Management**. V.14, n.4, p. 79-96, 1994.
- 71 HU, T.C; Multi-commodity network flows. **Operation Research**. Vol.11, no. 3; p. 344-360, 1963.
- 72 HURTER, A.; MARTINICH, J. **Facility location and the theory of production**. Massachusetts: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1989.
- 73 **ILOG AMPL CPLEX SYSTEM** version 8.0 – user's guide. France. 2002. disponível em:<www.ampl.com> acesso em 06/06/2005.
- 74 IZQUIERDO, R. **Transportes – Un Enfoque Integral**. 1 ed. Madrid, Colegio de Ingenieritos de caminos, Canales y puertos, 1994.
- 75 JACOBSEN, S.K. Multiperiod capacitated location models. In: Mirchandani, P.B., Francis, R.L. (eds.) **Discrete location theory**. New York: Wiley, p. 173-208. 1983.
- 76 JAYARAMAN, V. Transportation, facility location and inventory issues in distribution network design – an investigation. **International Journal of Operation & Production Management**, v. 18, n. 5, p. 471- 494, 1998.
- 77 KAJ HOLMBERG, J. L. A lagrangean heuristic for the facility location problem with staircase costs. **European Journal of Operational Research**, v. 97, p. 63-74, 1997.

- 78 KARKASIS, J.; BOFFEY, T. B. The multi-commodity facilities location problem. **The Journal of the Operational Research Society**, v. 32, n. 9, p. 803-814, Sept. 1981.
- 79 KAUFMAN, L.; Eede, V.; Hansen, P. A plant and warehousing location problem. **Operational Research Quarterly** (1970-1977), v. 28, n. 3, p. 547-554, part 1, 1977.
- 80 KLOSE, A.; DREXEL, A. Facility location models for distribution system design. **European Journal of Operational Research**, v. 162, p. 4-29, 2005.
- 81 KONINGS, J. W. Integrated centres for the transshipment, storage, collection and distribution of goods: a survey of the possibilities for a high-quality intermodal transport concept. **Transportation Policy**, Great Britain, v. 3, n. 1/2, p. 3-11, 1996.
- 82 KRARUP, J.; PRUZAN, P. The simple plant location problem: survey and synthesis. **European Journal of Operation Research**, n. 12, p. 36-81, 1983.
- 83 LAPORTE, G. et al. Exact solution of a stochastic location problem by an integer L-shaped algorithm. **Transportation Science**. N. 18, p. 1-55
- 84 LAMBERT, D. M. Supply Chain Management: Implementation Issues and Research Opportunities. *International Journal of Logistics Management*. Vol. 9, No 02, pp.1-19. 1998.
- 85 LAZZARINI, S.G.; NUNES, R. **Competitividade do Sistema Agroindustrial da Soja**. PENSA – Universidade de São Paulo. São Paulo, 2000.
- 86 LIRN, T.; THANOPOULOU, H.; BERESFORD, A. Transshipment port selection and decision-making behavior: an analyzing the Taiwanese case. **International Journal of Logistics: research and applications**, v. 6, n. 4, p.229-244, 2003.
- 87 LOVE, R. **Facility location: models e methods**. Amsterdã: Elsevier Science Pub., ORSA – Operation Research Society American, 1988.
- 88 MACLACHLAN, I.; AGUILAR, A. Maquiladoras myths: locational and structural change in Mexico's export manufacturing industry. **Professional Geographer**, v. 50, n. 3, p. 315-331, 1998.
- 89 MARTI, B. Chilean ports: commodity specialization and potential for containerization. **Professional Geographer**, v. 37, n. 3, p. 320-328, 1985.
- 90 MARTINS, R. S.; CAIXETA FILHO, J.V. Ferrovias e transporte de produtos agrícolas no paran . **Log stica e transporte**. Novembro 1998.

- 91 MATISZIW, T. **Modeling transnational surface freight flow and border crossing improvement**. 2005, 196 f. Tese (Doutorado em Geografia) – Ohio State University – USA, 2005.
- 92 MANZELLA, L. **L' attrait d'une logistique em plein VB et croissance**. *Stratégie Logistique*, novembre, no. 51, p.132-142, 2002.
- 93 MELKOTE, S.; DASKIN, M. Capacitated facility location/network design problems. **European Journal of Operational Research**, n.129, p. 481-495, 2001.
- 94 MIN, H. Location analysis of international consolidation terminals using the analytic hierarchy process. **Journal of Business Logistics**, v. 15 , n. 2, p.25-44, 1994.
- 95 MIRCHANDANI, P.; FRANCIS, R. **Discrete location theory**. New york: John Wiley & Sons – USA, 555p. 1990.
- 96 MOSES, L. Location and the theory of production. **The Quartely Journal of Economics**, v. 72, n. 2, p. 259-272, 1958.
- 97 MOTTER,C. Comercialização de Soja: Um instrumento operacional para o alimento da renda do produtor. Dissertação (Mestrado em Engenharia de Produção) – Programa de Pós-Graduação em Engenharia de Produção, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, 2001.
- 98 NAZÁRIO, P. Intermodalidade: Importância para a logística e estágio atual no Brasil. Rio de Janeiro, 2001. disponível em:< www.cel.coppead.ufrj.br> acesso em 15/03/2005.
- 99 NEWNAN, D. **Essentials of engeneering economic analysis**. New York: Oxford University Press, 2002.
- 100 NICOLAY, M.G.V. **Uma demonstração do efeito distorcivo da política tributária brasileira na atividade logística: um estudo de caso**. Dissertação (Mestrado em administração). Programa de Pós-graduação em Administração, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro. Rio de Janeiro. 2003.
- 101 NOVAES, A. G. **Logística e gerenciamento da cadeia de distribuição**. 2ed. Rio de Janeiro: Elsevier, 2004.
- 102 NOZICK, L. K. The fixed charge facility location problem with coverage restrictions. **Transportation Research**, v. 37, p. 281-296, 2001. Part E.
- 103 NOZICK, L. K.; TURNQUIST, M. Integrating inventory impacts into a fixed charge model for locationg distribution centers. **Transportation Research**. V. 31, n. 3 p 173-186. 1998. Part E

- 104 OCDE. Intermodalite et logistique. recherche en matière de routes et de transports routiers.[s.l :s.n], 1997 cap. 2
- 105 OJIMA,A.L.R.O. **Análise da movimentação logística e competitividade da soja brasileira: uma aplicação de um modelo de equilíbrio espacial de programação quadrática.** Dissertação (Mestrado em Engenharia Elétrica). Programa de Pós-graduação em Engenharia Elétrica, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, 2004.
- 106 O'KELLY, M. The location of integrating hub facilities. **Transportation science**, 20(2):92-106,1986.
- 107 O'KELLY, M. BRYAN,D.L. Hub Location with flow economie of scale. **Transportation Research – B.** vol. 32, no. 8 pp. 605-616, 1998.
- 108 O'KELLY, M. BRYAN,D.L. Hub network design with single and multiple allocation: A computacional study. **Transportation Research – B.** vol. 32, no. 8 pp. 605-616, 1998.
- 109 ORDEN, A. The transshipment Problem. **Management Science**, vol.2, no. 3, 276-285, 1956.
- 110 OWEN, S. H.; DASKIN, M. Strategic facility location: a review. **European Journal of Operational Research**, n. 111, p. 423-447, 1998.
- 111 PALEKAR, U. et al. A branch-and-bound method for the fixed charge transportation problem. **Management Science**, v. 36, n. 9, p. 1.092-1.105, Sept. 1990.
- 112 PIRKUL, H.; JAYARAMAN, V. A multi-commodity, multi-plant, capacitated facility location problem: formulation and efficient heuristic solution. **Computers Operation Research**, Great Britain, v. 25, n. 10, p. 869-878, 1998.
- 113 PIZZOLATO, N. D. **Problemas de localização.** Rio de Janeiro, [2000]. Notas de aula.
- 114 PLATAFORMA LOGISTICA MULTIMODAL DE GOIAS. Disponível em: <www.plataformalogistica.go.gov.br> Acesso em 28 nov. 2005.
- 115 PORT OF ROTTERDAM. Disponível em: <www.portofrotterdam.com>. Acesso em: 14 dez. 2003.
- 116 PORTER,M. **Estratégia competitiva. Técnicas para análise de indústrias e da concorrência.** Rio de Janeiro: Ed. Campus. 1 ed.1989.
- 117 PORTO SECO. Disponível em: <www.receita.fazenda.gov.br>. Acesso em: 14 dez. 2003.

- 118 REVELLE, C. S.; EISELT, H. A. Location analysis: a synthesis and survey. **European Journal of Operational Research**, n. 165, p. 1-19, 2005.
- 119 REVELLE, C. S.; LAPORTE, G. The plant location problem: new models and research prospects. **Operational Research**, v. 44, n. 6, p. 864-874, Nov. 1996.
- 120 REVELLE, C. S.; MARKS,D.; LIEBMAN,J. Analysis of private and public sector location problems. **Management Science**. 16, p.692-707.1970.
- 121 REYNAUD, C. Cinq Questions sur les terminaux de marchandises. TEC no. 128. janvier-février. 1994.
- 122 ROSA, D. **Plataforma logístico-cooperativa**: integração horizontal das cadeias de abastecimento. Rio de Janeiro: Associação Nacional de Pesquisa e Ensino em transportes, 2004a.
- 123 _____. **O potencial da entrada dos terminais de transporte na indústria de serviços logísticos**. Rio de Janeiro: Anais do Rio de Transportes II, 2004b.
- 124 _____. **O planejamento de centros logísticos com base na agregação de valor por serviços logísticos em terminais de transportes**. 291 f. Tese (Doutorado em Engenharia de Transportes) – PET - COPPE – Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, 2005.
- 125 SCATOLIN, F.; MEIRELLES,J.G.P.; PAULA,N.M. **Arranjo Produtivo local – o caso da soja**. Projeto de Pesquisa. Instituto de Economia. Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, 2000.
- 126 SCHMIDT, G.; WILHELM, W. Strategic, tactical and operational decisions in multi-national logistics networks: a review and discussion of modelling issues. **International Journal of Production Research**, v. 38, n. 7, p. 1.501-1.523, 2000.
- 127 SCHRAGE, L. **Optimization modeling system with LINDO**. 5th ed. Pacific Grove - USA: The Scientific Press, 1997.
- 128 SCOTT, M. et al. Modeling and analysis of multicommodity network flows via goal programming. **INFOR**, v. 43, n. 2, p.93-110, May, 2005.
- 129 SECEX – Secretaria de Comércio Exterior. Ministério do Desenvolvimento, Indústria e Comércio. Disponível em: < <http://www.desenvolvimento.gov.br> > Acesso em: 13 mai. 2005
- 130 SHARMA, D.; GOSH, D.; MATTISON, D.; An application of goal programming with penalty functions to transshipment problems.

International Journal of Logistics: Research and Applications, v. 6, n. 3, p. 125-136, 2003.

- 131 SIQUEIRA, T.V. **O Ciclo da soja: Desempenho da cultura da soja entre 1961 e 2003**. BNDES Setorial, Rio de Janeiro, n.20, p.127-222, set. 2004.
- 132 SLACK, B. Intermodal transportation in North America and the development of inland load centers. **Professional Geographer**, v. 42, n. 1, p. 72-83, 1990.
- 133 SILVA, C.A.F. A transnacionalização do Grupo André Maggi a partir do cerrado mato-grossense. *Revista geo-paisagem*, Ano 4, no. 7, jan.- jun. 2005. Disponível em: <www.feth.ggf.br> Acesso em 18 jan. 2006.
- 134 SOUTHWORTH, F.; PETERSON, B. Intermodal and international freight networking modeling. **Transportation Research**, n. 8, p. 147-166, 2000. Part C.
- 135 SRIDHARAN, R. The capacitated plant location problem. **European Journal of Operational Research**, n. 87, p. 203-213, 1995.
- 136 TAAFFE, E.J.; GAUTHIER, H.L.; O'KELLY, M.; **Geography of transportation**. 2nd edition. Prentice hall: Upper Saddle River. 1996.
- 137 TANIGUCHI, E. Optimal size and location planning of public logistics terminal. **Transportation Research**, n. 35, p. 207-222, 1999. Part E.
- 138 THUESEN, G. J. **Engineering economy**. 8th ed. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 1993.
- 139 **THE BOLOGNA FREIGHT VILLAGE**. Disponível em: <www.bo.interport.it>. Acesso em: 01 nov. 2003.
- 140 TELECOTRANS. **Plataformas logísticas y centros de transporte de mercancías en España - una visión de la situación actual y propuesta de intervención**, 1999. disponível em: <www.telecotrans.es>. Acesso em: 01 nov. 2003.
- 141 TRIP, J. J.; BONTEKONING, Y. Integration of small freight flows in the intermodal transport system. **Journal of Transport Geography**, n. 10, p. 221-229, 2002.
- 142 TSAMBOULAS, D. Krapos, S. Freight village evaluation under uncertainty with public and private financing. **Transport Policy**, n. 10, p.141-156, 2003.
- 143 Van KLINK, H. A.; Van DEN BERG, G.C. Gateways and intermodalism. **Journal of Transport Geography**, v. 6, n. 1, p. 1-9, 1998.

- 144 VERGARA, S. C. **Projetos e relatórios de pesquisa em administração**. 6. ed. São Paulo: Atlas, 2005.
- 145 WANG, J. J. A container load center with a developing hinterland: a case study of Hong Kong. **Journal of Transport Geography**, v. 6, n. 3, p.187-201, 1998.
- 146 WANG, J.; SLACK, B. The evolution of a regional container port system: the Pearl River Delta. **Journal of Transport Geography**, n. 8, p. 263-275, 2000.
- 147 WARSZAWSKI, A.; Multi-dimensional location problems. **Operations Research Quaterly**. 24, p. 165-179. 1973
- 148 WEBER,A. *Über den Standort der Industrien* (Alfred Weber's Theory of the location of industries), University of Chicago, 1929.
- 149 WINSTON, W. **Operations research**: applications and algorithms. Belmont - USA: Thomson Learning, 2004.
- 150 WU,J.; WU,N. Analysing multi-dimensional attributes for the single plant location problem via an adaptation of the analytical hierarchy process. **International Journal of Operation & Production Management**,1983.
- 151 YIN,R.K. *Case study research: design and methods*. Thousand Oaks, USA: Sage Publications. 1989.
- 152 ZANQUETO FILHO,H. **Processos operacionais nas parcerias da cadeia de frutas e vegetais do Reino Unido: elementos complementares para um modelo de avaliação de desempenho para a cadeia de suprimentos de alimentos frescos**. Tese (Doutorado em Engenharia Industrial). Departamento de Engenharia Industrial. Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro. Rio de Janeiro. 2003.
- 153 ZHU, Z. Adaptation of the plant location model for regional environmental facilities and cost allocation strategy. **Annals of operation research**, vol. 18, 345-368, 1989.

ANEXO I – Modelo

```

set ORIG;           # origins_i (plants, farms, terminals, CD, exporters)
set PLATFORM;       # logistic platform_j
set DEST;           # destinations_k (ports, countries, costumers)
set PRODUCT;        # product_p

param price {DEST,PRODUCT} >= 0;           # price of product p
param supply {ORIG,PRODUCT} >= 0;          # amounts available at origins of product p
param demand {DEST,PRODUCT} >= 0;         # amounts required at destinations of product p
param beta {PLATFORM,PRODUCT}>=0 ,<=1;    # % change of volume of product p
param capac_prod {PLATFORM,PRODUCT}>= 0;   # capacity of each product p
param totalcapacity {PLATFORM}>=0;         # total capacity of logistic platform
param costin {ORIG,PLATFORM,PRODUCT}>=0;  # transport cost from plant to logistic platform
param costout{PLATFORM,DEST,PRODUCT}>=0;   # transport cost from logistic platform to destinations
param fcost {PLATFORM}>= 0;                # fixed cost to instal a logistic platform
param vcost {PLATFORM,PRODUCT}>= 0;        # variable costs per unit
#param Z:=6;                               # Number maximum of logistics platforms

var Xin {ORIG,PLATFORM,PRODUCT}>= 0;       # units to be shipped inbound from origin to logistic platform
var Xout {PLATFORM,DEST,PRODUCT}>= 0;       # units to be shipped outbound from logistic platform to destinations
var Y {PLATFORM} >=0 binary;               # 1 If Logistic platform is open and 0, otherwise

maximize total_profit:
sum {j in PLATFORM, k in DEST, p in PRODUCT} price[k,p] * Xout[j,k,p] -
sum {i in ORIG, j in PLATFORM, p in PRODUCT} costin[i,j,p] * Xin[i,j,p] -
sum {j in PLATFORM} fcost[j] * Y[j] -
sum {i in ORIG, j in PLATFORM, p in PRODUCT} vcost[j,p] * Xin[i,j,p] -
sum {j in PLATFORM, k in DEST, p in PRODUCT} costout[j,k,p] * Xout[j,k,p];

subject to Supply {i in ORIG, p in PRODUCT}:
    sum {j in PLATFORM} Xin[i,j,p] <= supply[i,p];

subject to Demand {k in DEST, p in PRODUCT}:
    sum {j in PLATFORM} Xout[j,k,p] = demand[k,p];

subject to Limit_1 {j in PLATFORM, p in PRODUCT}:
    sum {i in ORIG} Xin[i,j,p] <= capac_prod[j,p] * Y[j];

```

subject to Limit_2 {j in PLATFORM}:

$$\sum \{p \text{ in } \text{PRODUCT}, i \text{ in } \text{ORIG}\} \text{Xin}[i,j,p] \leq \text{totalcapacity}[j] * Y[j];$$

subject to equilibrium {j in PLATFORM, p in PRODUCT}:

$$\sum \{i \text{ in } \text{ORIG}\} \text{beta}[j,p] * \text{Xin}[i,j,p] - \sum \{k \text{ in } \text{DEST}\} \text{Xout}[j,k,p] = 0;$$

subject to number:

$$\sum \{j \text{ in } \text{PLATFORM}\} Y[j] \leq 6;$$

ANEXO II – Dados do Modelo

```

set ORIG      := ACAI,ARAG,DOUR,CUI,LOND,CG;
set PLATFORM:= ITQ,TUB,SAT,PAR,SFS,RG;
set DEST      := HAM,ROT,SHG;
set INSUM     := Soy;
set PRODUCT   := Soy,Bran,Oil;
set SERVICE   := ARM,ESM,PROD;

```

param: supply:=

ACAI	Soy	70000
ARAG	Soy	80000
DOUR	Soy	200000
CUI	Soy	250000
LOND	Soy	300000
CG	Soy	300000;

param: demand_max:=

HAM	Soy	1200000
HAM	Bran	960000
HAM	Oil	240000
ROT	Soy	1200000
ROT	Bran	960000
ROT	Oil	240000
SHG	Soy	1200000
SHG	Bran	960000
SHG	Oil	240000;

param: beta:=

ITQ	ARM	Soy	Soy	1
ITQ	ARM	Soy	Bran	0
ITQ	ARM	Soy	Oil	0
ITQ	ESM	Soy	Soy	0
ITQ	ESM	Soy	Bran	0.8
ITQ	ESM	Soy	Oil	0
ITQ	PROD	Soy	Soy	0
ITQ	PROD	Soy	Bran	0
ITQ	PROD	Soy	Oil	0.2
TUB	ARM	Soy	Soy	1
TUB	ARM	Soy	Bran	0
TUB	ARM	Soy	Oil	0
TUB	ESM	Soy	Soy	0
TUB	ESM	Soy	Bran	0.8
TUB	ESM	Soy	Oil	0
TUB	PROD	Soy	Soy	0
TUB	PROD	Soy	Bran	0
TUB	PROD	Soy	Oil	0.2
SAT	ARM	Soy	Soy	1
SAT	ARM	Soy	Bran	0
SAT	ARM	Soy	Oil	0
SAT	ESM	Soy	Soy	0
SAT	ESM	Soy	Bran	0.8
SAT	ESM	Soy	Oil	0
SAT	PROD	Soy	Soy	0
SAT	PROD	Soy	Bran	0

SAT	PROD	Soy	Oil	0.2
PAR	ARM	Soy	Soy	1
PAR	ARM	Soy	Bran	0
PAR	ARM	Soy	Oil	0
PAR	ESM	Soy	Soy	0
PAR	ESM	Soy	Bran	0.8
PAR	ESM	Soy	Oil	0
PAR	PROD	Soy	Soy	0
PAR	PROD	Soy	Bran	0
PAR	PROD	Soy	Oil	0.2
SFS	ARM	Soy	Soy	1
SFS	ARM	Soy	Bran	0
SFS	ARM	Soy	Oil	0
SFS	ESM	Soy	Soy	0
SFS	ESM	Soy	Bran	0.8
SFS	ESM	Soy	Oil	0
SFS	PROD	Soy	Soy	0
SFS	PROD	Soy	Bran	0
SFS	PROD	Soy	Oil	0.2
RG	ARM	Soy	Soy	1
RG	ARM	Soy	Bran	0
RG	ARM	Soy	Oil	0
RG	ESM	Soy	Soy	0
RG	ESM	Soy	Bran	0.8
RG	ESM	Soy	Oil	0
RG	PROD	Soy	Soy	0
RG	PROD	Soy	Bran	0
RG	PROD	Soy	Oil	0.2;

param: capac_prod :=

ITQ	ARM	100000
ITQ	ESM	100000
ITQ	PROD	50000
TUB	ARM	300000
TUB	ESM	100000
TUB	PROD	00000
SAT	ARM	200000
SAT	ESM	100000
SAT	PROD	60000
PAR	ARM	400000
PAR	ESM	280000
PAR	PROD	100000
SFS	ARM	100000
SFS	ESM	60000
SFS	PROD	50000
RG	ARM	100000
RG	ESM	90000
RG	PROD	50000;

param: totalcapacity:=

ITQ	250000
TUB	500000
SAT	360000
PAR	780000
SFS	210000
RG	240000;

param: costin:=

ACAI	ITQ	Soy	32
ACAI	TUB	Soy	99
ACAI	SAT	Soy	99
ACAI	PAR	Soy	99
ACAI	SFS	Soy	99
ACAI	RG	Soy	99
ARAG	ITQ	Soy	99
ARAG	TUB	Soy	50
ARAG	SAT	Soy	50
ARAG	PAR	Soy	40
ARAG	SFS	Soy	41
ARAG	RG	Soy	43
DOUR	ITQ	Soy	99
DOUR	TUB	Soy	26
DOUR	SAT	Soy	48
DOUR	PAR	Soy	46
DOUR	SFS	Soy	40
DOUR	RG	Soy	41
CUI	ITQ	Soy	99
CUI	TUB	Soy	62
CUI	SAT	Soy	42
CUI	PAR	Soy	25
CUI	SFS	Soy	27
CUI	RG	Soy	28
LOND	ITQ	Soy	99
LOND	TUB	Soy	28
LOND	SAT	Soy	20
LOND	PAR	Soy	25
LOND	SFS	Soy	25
LOND	RG	Soy	27
CG	ITQ	Soy	99
CG	TUB	Soy	99
CG	SAT	Soy	20
CG	PAR	Soy	22
CG	SFS	Soy	23
CG	RG	Soy	25;

param: costout:=

ITQ	HAM	Soy	35
ITQ	HAM	Bran	35
ITQ	HAM	Oil	35
ITQ	ROT	Soy	35
ITQ	ROT	Bran	35
ITQ	ROT	Oil	35
ITQ	SHG	Soy	40
ITQ	SHG	Bran	40
ITQ	SHG	Oil	40
TUB	HAM	Soy	35
TUB	HAM	Bran	35
TUB	HAM	Oil	35
TUB	ROT	Soy	35
TUB	ROT	Bran	35
TUB	ROT	Oil	35
TUB	SHG	Soy	40

TUB	SHG	Bran	40
TUB	SHG	Oil	40
SAT	HAM	Soy	40
SAT	HAM	Bran	40
SAT	HAM	Oil	40
SAT	ROT	Soy	40
SAT	ROT	Bran	40
SAT	ROT	Oil	40
SAT	SHG	Soy	45
SAT	SHG	Bran	45
SAT	SHG	Oil	45
PAR	HAM	Soy	49
PAR	HAM	Bran	49
PAR	HAM	Oil	49
PAR	ROT	Soy	49
PAR	ROT	Bran	49
PAR	ROT	Oil	49
PAR	SHG	Soy	57
PAR	SHG	Bran	57
PAR	SHG	Oil	57
SFS	HAM	Soy	49
SFS	HAM	Bran	49
SFS	HAM	Oil	49
SFS	ROT	Soy	49
SFS	ROT	Bran	49
SFS	ROT	Oil	49
SFS	SHG	Soy	57
SFS	SHG	Bran	57
SFS	SHG	Oil	57
RG	HAM	Soy	49
RG	HAM	Bran	49
RG	HAM	Oil	49
RG	ROT	Soy	49
RG	ROT	Bran	49
RG	ROT	Oil	49
RG	SHG	Soy	57
RG	SHG	Bran	57
RG	SHG	Oil	57;

param: fcost:=

ITQ	500000
TUB	500000
SAT	500000
PAR	500000
SFS	500000
RG	500000;

param: gserv:=

ITQ	ARM	50000
ITQ	ESM	40000
ITQ	PROD	80000
TUB	ARM	50000
TUB	ESM	60000
TUB	PROD	80000
SAT	ARM	60000
SAT	ESM	70000

SAT	PROD	80000
PAR	ARM	50000
PAR	ESM	60000
PAR	PROD	80000
SFS	ARM	60000
SFS	ESM	70000
SFS	PROD	80000
RG	ARM	60000
RG	ESM	70000
RG	PROD	80000;

param: alfa:=

ITQ	ARM	Soy	18
ITQ	ESM	Soy	20
ITQ	PROD	Soy	22
TUB	ARM	Soy	15
TUB	ESM	Soy	20
TUB	PROD	Soy	21
SAT	ARM	Soy	16
SAT	ESM	Soy	22
SAT	PROD	Soy	23
PAR	ARM	Soy	15
PAR	ESM	Soy	18
PAR	PROD	Soy	19
SFS	ARM	Soy	17
SFS	ESM	Soy	20
SFS	PROD	Soy	21
RG	ARM	Soy	17
RG	ESM	Soy	21
RG	PROD	Soy	22;

param: price:=

HAM	Soy	290
HAM	Bran	250
HAM	Oil	350
ROT	Soy	290
ROT	Bran	250
ROT	Oil	350
SHG	Soy	290
SHG	Bran	250
SHG	Oil	350;

ANEXO III

1) Resultados do modelo para $Z \leq 6$ terminais especializados

```

sw: ampl
ampl: model MOD4_V17_multicommodity.mod;
ampl: data MOD4_V17_multicommodity.dat;
ampl: solve;
MINOS 5.5: ignoring integrality of 24 variables
MINOS 5.5: optimal solution found.
76 iterations, objective 241910000
ampl: option solver cplex;
ampl: solve;
CPLEX 8.0.0: optimal integer solution; objective 241910000
82 MIP simplex iterations
0 branch-and-bound nodes
ampl: option display_round 0;
ampl: display Y;
Y [*] :=
ITQ      1
PAR      1
RG       1
SAT      1
SFS      1
TUB      1;

ampl: display Yserv;
Yserv :=
ITQ  ARM  1
ITQ  ESM  0
ITQ  PRO  0
PAR  ARM  1
PAR  ESM  0
PAR  PROD 0
RG   ARM  1
RG   ESM  0
RG   PROD 0
SAT  ARM  1
SAT  ESM  0
SAT  PROD 0
SFS  ARM  1
SFS  ESM  0
SFS  PROD 0
TUB  ARM  1
TUB  ESM  0
TUB  PROD 0;

ampl: display Xin;
Xin [*,*,ARM,Soy]
:      ITQ      PAR      RG      SAT      SFS      TUB      :=
ACAI   70000      0      0      0      0      0
ARAG   30000  50000      0      0      0      0
CG      0  200000      0      0  100000      0
CUI      0  150000  100000      0      0      0
DOUR      0      0      0      0      0  200000
LOND      0      0      0  200000      0  100000

```

```

[* ,*,ESM,Soy]
:      ITQ PAR RG SAT SFS TUB  :=
ACAI   0  0  0  0  0  0
ARAG   0  0  0  0  0  0
CG      0  0  0  0  0  0
CUI     0  0  0  0  0  0
DOUR    0  0  0  0  0  0
LOND    0  0  0  0  0  0

```

```

[* ,*,PROD,Soy]
:      ITQ PAR RG SAT SFS TUB  :=
ACAI   0  0  0  0  0  0
ARAG   0  0  0  0  0  0
CG      0  0  0  0  0  0
CUI     0  0  0  0  0  0
DOUR    0  0  0  0  0  0
LOND    0  0  0  0  0  0;

```

```

ampl: display Xout;
Xout [* ,HAM,ARM,*]
:      Bran      Oil      Soy  :=
ITQ    0          0      100000
PAR    0          0          0
RG      0          0      100000
SAT     0          0          0
SFS     0          0      100000
TUB     0          0          0

```

```

[* ,HAM,ESM,*]
:      Bran      Oil      Soy  :=
ITQ    0          0          0
PAR    0          0          0
RG      0          0          0
SAT     0          0          0
SFS     0          0          0
TUB     0          0          0

```

```

[* ,HAM,PROD,*]
:      Bran      Oil      Soy  :=
ITQ    0          0          0
PAR    0          0          0
RG      0          0          0
SAT     0          0          0
SFS     0          0          0
TUB     0          0          0

```

```

[* ,ROT,ARM,*]
:      Bran      Oil      Soy  :=
ITQ    0          0          0
PAR    0          0      400000
RG      0          0          0
SAT     0          0      200000
SFS     0          0          0
TUB     0          0      300000

```

[* ,ROT,ESM,*]			
	: Bran	Oil	Soy :=
ITQ	0	0	0
PAR	0	0	0
RG	0	0	0
SAT	0	0	0
SFS	0	0	0
TUB	0	0	0

[* ,ROT,PROD,*]			
	: Bran	Oil	Soy :=
ITQ	0	0	0
PAR	0	0	0
RG	0	0	0
SAT	0	0	0
SFS	0	0	0
TUB	0	0	0

[* ,SHG,ARM,*]			
	: Bran	Oil	Soy :=
ITQ	0	0	0
PAR	0	0	0
RG	0	0	0
SAT	0	0	0
SFS	0	0	0
TUB	0	0	0

[* ,SHG,ESM,*]			
	: Bran	Oil	Soy :=
ITQ	0	0	0
PAR	0	0	0
RG	0	0	0
SAT	0	0	0
SFS	0	0	0
TUB	0	0	0

[* ,SHG,PROD,*]			
	: Bran	Oil	Soy :=
ITQ	0	0	0
PAR	0	0	0
RG	0	0	0
SAT	0	0	0
SFS	0	0	0
TUB	0	0	0;

2) Resultados do modelo para $Z \leq 5$ terminais especializados

```

sw: ampl
ampl: model MOD4_V17_multicommodity.mod;
ampl: data MOD4_V17_multicommodity.dat;
ampl: solve;
MINOS 5.5: ignoring integrality of 24 variables
MINOS 5.5: optimal solution found.
70 iterations, objective 236387000
ampl: option solver cplex;
ampl: solve;
CPLEX 8.0.0: optimal integer solution; objective 235100000
89 MIP simplex iterations
0 branch-and-bound nodes
ampl: option display_round 0;
ampl: display Y;
Y [*] :=
ITQ      1
PAR      1
RG       0
SAT      1
SFS      1
TUB      1;

ampl: display Yserv;
Yserv :=
ITQ  ARM      1
ITQ  ESM      0
ITQ  PROA     0
PAR  ARM      1
PAR  ESM      0
PAR  PROD     0
RG   ARM      0
RG   ESM      0
RG   PROD     0
SAT  ARM      1
SAT  ESM      1
SAT  PROD     0
SFS  ARM      1
SFS  ESM      0
SFS  PROD     0
TUB  ARM      1
TUB  ESM      0
TUB  PROD     0;

ampl: display Xin;
Xin [*,*,ARM,Soy]
:      ITQ      PAR      RG      SAT      SFS      TUB      :=
ACAI   70000      0      0      0      0      0
ARAG   30000  50000      0      0      0      0
CG      0  100000      0  100000  100000      0
CUI      0  250000      0      0      0      0
DOUR      0      0      0      0      0  200000
LOND      0      0      0  100000      0  100000

[*,*,ESM,Soy]
:      ITQ      PAR      RG      SAT      SFS      TUB      :=
ACAI      0      0      0      0      0      0
ARAG      0      0      0      0      0      0
CG      0      0      0      0      0      0

```

CUI	0	0	0	0	0	0
DOUR	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOND	0	0	0	100000	0	0

```

[*,*,PROD,Soy]
: ITQ    PAR    RG    SAT    SFS    TUB :=
ACAI     0      0      0      0      0      0
ARAG     0      0      0      0      0      0
CG       0      0      0      0      0      0
CUI      0      0      0      0      0      0
DOUR     0      0      0      0      0      0
LOND     0      0      0      0      0      0;

```

```

ampl: display Xout;
Xout [*,HAM,ARM,*]
: Bran Oil Soy :=
ITQ     0  0  0
PAR     0  0 400000
RG      0  0  0
SAT     0  0  0
SFS     0  0 100000
TUB     0  0  0

```

```

[*,HAM,ESM,*]
: Bran Oil Soy :=
ITQ     0  0  0
PAR     0  0  0
RG      0  0  0
SAT     80000 0  0
SFS     0  0  0
TUB     0  0  0

```

```

[*,HAM,PROD,*]
: Bran Oil Soy :=
ITQ     0  0  0
PAR     0  0  0
RG      0  0  0
SAT     0  0  0
SFS     0  0  0
TUB     0  0  0

```

```

[*,ROT,ARM,*]
: Bran Oil Soy :=
ITQ     0  0 100000
PAR     0  0  0
RG      0  0  0
SAT     0  0 200000
SFS     0  0  0
TUB     0  0 300000

```

```

[*,ROT,ESM,*]
: Bran Oil Soy :=
ITQ     0  0  0
PAR     0  0  0
RG      0  0  0
SAT     0  0  0
SFS     0  0  0
TUB     0  0  0

```

```

[*,ROT,PROD,*]
:      Bran   Oil   Soy   :=
ITQ      0     0     0
PAR      0     0     0
RG       0     0     0
SAT      0     0     0
SFS      0     0     0
TUB      0     0     0

```

```

[*,SHG,ARM,*]
:      Bran   Oil   Soy   :=
ITQ      0     0     0
PAR      0     0     0
RG       0     0     0
SAT      0     0     0
SFS      0     0     0
TUB      0     0     0

```

```

[*,SHG,ESM,*]
:      Bran Oil Soy   :=
ITQ      0  0  0
PAR      0  0  0
RG       0  0  0
SAT      0  0  0
SFS      0  0  0
TUB      0  0  0

```

```

[*,SHG,PROD,*]
:      Bran Oil Soy   :=
ITQ      0  0  0
PAR      0  0  0
RG       0  0  0
SAT      0  0  0
SFS      0  0  0
TUB      0  0  0;

```

3) Resultados do modelo para $Z \leq 4$ terminais especializados

```

sw: ampl
ampl: model MOD4_V17_multicommodity.mod;
ampl: data MOD4_V17_multicommodity.dat;
ampl: solve;
MINOS 5.5: ignoring integrality of 24 variables
MINOS 5.5: optimal solution found.
68 iterations, objective 229247000
ampl: option solver cplex;
ampl: solve;
CPLEX 8.0.0: optimal integer solution; objective 227900000
92 MIP simplex iterations
0 branch-and-bound nodes
ampl: display Y;
Y [*] :=
ITQ      1
PAR      1
RG       0
SAT      1
SFS      0
TUB      1;

ampl: display Yserv;
Yserv :=
ITQ  ARM    1
ITQ  ESM    0
ITQ  PROA   0
PAR  ARM    1
PAR  ESM    0
PAR  PROD   0
RG   ARM    0
RG   ESM    0
RG   PROD   0
SAT  ARM    1
SAT  ESM    1
SAT  PROD   0
SFS  ARM    0
SFS  ESM    0
SFS  PROD   0
TUB  ARM    1
TUB  ESM    1
TUB  PROD   0;

ampl: option display_round 0;
ampl: display Xin;
Xin [*,*,ARM,Soy]
:      ITQ    PAR    RG    SAT    SFS    TUB    :=
ACAI   70000    0     0     0     0     0
ARAG   30000  50000    0     0     0     0
CG      0 100000    0 200000    0     0
CUI     0 250000    0     0     0     0
DOUR    0     0     0     0     0 100000
LOND    0     0     0     0     0 200000

[*,*,ESM,Soy]
:      ITQ    PAR    RG    SAT    SFS    TUB    :=
ACAI    0     0     0     0     0     0
ARAG    0     0     0     0     0     0

```

CG	0	0	0	0	0	0
CUI	0	0	0	0	0	0
DOUR	0	0	0	0	0	100000
LOND	0	0	0	100000	0	0

```

[*,*,PROD,Soy]
:      ITQ PAR RG SAT SFS TUB  :=
ACAI   0   0   0   0   0   0
ARAG   0   0   0   0   0   0
CG      0   0   0   0   0   0
CUI     0   0   0   0   0   0
DOUR    0   0   0   0   0   0
LOND    0   0   0   0   0   0;

```

```

ampl: display Xout;
Xout [*,HAM,ARM,*]
:      Bran Oil  Soy  :=
ITQ    0   0 100000
PAR    0   0 400000
RG      0   0   0
SAT     0   0   0
SFS     0   0   0
TUB     0   0   0

```

```

[*,HAM,ESM,*]
:      Bran Oil  Soy  :=
ITQ    0   0   0
PAR    0   0   0
RG      0   0   0
SAT 80000   0   0
SFS     0   0   0
TUB 80000   0   0

```

```

[*,HAM,PROD,*]
:      Bran Oil  Soy  :=
ITQ    0   0   0
PAR    0   0   0
RG      0   0   0
SAT     0   0   0
SFS     0   0   0
TUB     0   0   0

```

```

[*,ROT,ARM,*]
:      Bran Oil  Soy  :=
ITQ    0   0   0
PAR    0   0   0
RG      0   0   0
SAT     0   0 200000
SFS     0   0   0
TUB     0   0 300000

```

```

[*,ROT,ESM,*]
:      Bran Oil  Soy  :=
ITQ    0   0   0
PAR    0   0   0
RG      0   0   0
SAT     0   0   0
SFS     0   0   0
TUB     0   0   0

```

```

[*,ROT,PROD,*]
:      Bran Oil Soy :=
ITQ      0  0  0
PAR      0  0  0
RG       0  0  0
SAT      0  0  0
SFS      0  0  0
TUB      0  0  0

```

```

[*,SHG,ARM,*]
:      Bran Oil Soy :=
ITQ      0  0  0
PAR      0  0  0
RG       0  0  0
SAT      0  0  0
SFS      0  0  0
TUB      0  0  0

```

```

[*,SHG,ESM,*]
:      Bran Oil Soy :=
ITQ      0  0  0
PAR      0  0  0
RG       0  0  0
SAT      0  0  0
SFS      0  0  0
TUB      0  0  0

```

```

[*,SHG,PROD,*]
:      Bran Oil Soy :=
ITQ      0  0  0
PAR      0  0  0
RG       0  0  0
SAT      0  0  0
SFS      0  0  0
TUB      0  0  0;

```

4) Resultados do modelo para $Z \leq 3$ terminais especializados

```

sw: ampl
ampl: model MOD4_V17_multicommodity.mod;
ampl: data MOD4_V17_multicommodity.dat;
ampl: solve;
MINOS 5.5: ignoring integrality of 24 variables
MINOS 5.5: optimal solution found.
55 iterations, objective 217698071.4
ampl: option solver cplex;
ampl: solve;
CPLEX 8.0.0: optimal integer solution; objective 216470000
100 MIP simplex iterations
0 branch-and-bound nodes
ampl: display Y;
Y [*] :=
ITQ      0
PAR      1
RG       0
SAT      1
SFS      0
TUB      1;

```

```

ampl: display Yserv;
Yserv :=

```

```

ITQ  ARM    0
ITQ  ESM    0
ITQ  PROA   0
PAR  ARM    1
PAR  ESM    1
PAR  PROD   0
RG   ARM    0
RG   ESM    0
RG   PROD   0
SAT  ARM    1
SAT  ESM    1
SAT  PROD   0
SFS  ARM    0
SFS  ESM    0
SFS  PROD   0
TUB  ARM    1
TUB  ESM    1
TUB  PROD   0;

```

```

ampl: option display_round 0;

```

```

ampl: display Xin;

```

```

Xin [*,*,ARM,Soy]

```

```

      : ITQ  PAR  RG  SAT  SFS  TUB  :=
ACAI   0    0    0    0    0    70000
ARAG   0    0    0    0    0    0
CG     0 170000 0 130000 0    0
CUI    0 230000 0    0    0    0
DOUR   0    0    0    0    0 100000
LOND   0    0    0 70000 0 130000

```

```

[*,*,ESM,Soy]

```

```

      : ITQ  PAR  RG  SAT  SFS  TUB  :=
ACAI   0    0    0    0    0    0

```

ARAG	0	80000	0	0	0	0
CG	0	0	0	0	0	0
CUI	0	20000	0	0	0	0
DOUR	0	0	0	0	0	100000
LOND	0	0	0	100000	0	0

```

[* ,*,PROD,Soy]
:      ITQ PAR  RG SAT SFS TUB  :=
ACAI   0    0    0    0    0    0
ARAG   0    0    0    0    0    0
CG     0    0    0    0    0    0
CUI    0    0    0    0    0    0
DOUR   0    0    0    0    0    0
LOND   0    0    0    0    0    0;

```

```

ampl: display Xout;
Xout [* ,HAM,ARM,*]
:      Bran Oil   Soy   :=
ITQ    0    0    0
PAR    0    0 400000
RG     0    0    0
SAT    0    0    0
SFS    0    0    0
TUB    0    0    0

```

```

[* ,HAM,ESM,*]
:      Bran Oil   Soy   :=
ITQ    0    0    0
PAR    80000 0    0
RG     0    0    0
SAT    80000 0    0
SFS    0    0    0
TUB    80000 0    0

```

```

[* ,HAM,PROD,*]
:      Bran Oil       Soy   :=
ITQ    0    0        0
PAR    0    0        0
RG     0    0        0
SAT    0    0        0
SFS    0    0        0
TUB    0    0        0

```

```

[* ,ROT,ARM,*]
:      Bran Oil       Soy   :=
ITQ    0    0        0
PAR    0    0        0
RG     0    0        0
SAT    0    0 200000
SFS    0    0        0
TUB    0    0 300000

```

```

[* ,ROT,ESM,*]
:      Bran Oil       Soy   :=
ITQ    0    0        0
PAR    0    0        0
RG     0    0        0
SAT    0    0        0
SFS    0    0        0
TUB    0    0        0

```

```

[*,ROT,PROD,*]
:      Bran Oil Soy  :=
ITQ      0  0  0
PAR      0  0  0
RG       0  0  0
SAT      0  0  0
SFS      0  0  0
TUB      0  0  0

```

```

[*,SHG,ARM,*]
:      Bran Oil Soy  :=
ITQ      0  0  0
PAR      0  0  0
RG       0  0  0
SAT      0  0  0
SFS      0  0  0
TUB      0  0  0

```

```

[*,SHG,ESM,*]
:      Bran Oil Soy  :=
ITQ      0  0  0
PAR      0  0  0
RG       0  0  0
SAT      0  0  0
SFS      0  0  0
TUB      0  0  0

```

```

[*,SHG,PROD,*]
:      Bran Oil Soy  :=
ITQ      0  0  0
PAR      0  0  0
RG       0  0  0
SAT      0  0  0
SFS      0  0  0
TUB      0  0  0;

```

5) Resultados do modelo para $Z \leq 2$ terminais especializados

```

sw: ampl
ampl: model MOD4_V17_multicommodity.mod;
ampl: data MOD4_V17_multicommodity.dat;
ampl: solve;
MINOS 5.5: ignoring integrality of 24 variables
MINOS 5.5: optimal solution found.
59 iterations, objective 189034000
ampl: option solver cplex;
ampl: solve;
CPLEX 8.0.0: optimal integer solution; objective 189014000
120 MIP simplex iterations
0 branch-and-bound nodes
ampl: display Y;
Y [*] :=
ITQ      0
PAR      1
RG       0
SAT      0
SFS      0
TUB      1;

ampl: display Yserv;
Yserv :=
ITQ  ARM  0
ITQ  ESM  0
ITQ  PROD 0
PAR  ARM  1
PAR  ESM  1
PAR  PROD 0
RG   ARM  0
RG   ESM  0
RG   PROD 0
SAT  ARM  0
SAT  ESM  0
SAT  PROD 0
SFS  ARM  0
SFS  ESM  0
SFS  PROD 0
TUB  ARM  1
TUB  ESM  1
TUB  PROD 0;

ampl: option display_round 0;
ampl: display Xin;
Xin [*,*,ARM,Soy]
:      ITQ  PAR  RG  SAT  SFS  TUB  :=
ACAI   0    0    0    0    0    0
ARAG   0 30000  0    0    0    0
CG     0 300000 0    0    0    0
CUI    0 70000  0    0    0    0
DOUR   0    0    0    0    0 100000
LOND   0    0    0    0    0 200000

```

```

[*,*,ESM,Soy]
:      ITQ  PAR  RG  SAT  SFS  TUB  :=
ACAI   0    0    0    0    0    0
ARAG   0    0    0    0    0    0
CG      0    0    0    0    0    0
CUI     0 180000 0    0    0    0
DOUR   0    0    0    0    0 100000
LOND   0 100000 0    0    0    0

```

```

[*,*,PROD,Soy]
:      ITQ  PAR  RG  SAT  SFS  TUB  :=
ACAI   0    0    0    0    0    0
ARAG   0    0    0    0    0    0
CG      0    0    0    0    0    0
CUI     0    0    0    0    0    0
DOUR   0    0    0    0    0    0
LOND   0    0    0    0    0    0
;

```

```

ampl: display Xout;
Xout [*,HAM,ARM,*]
:      Bran Oil  Soy  :=
ITQ     0    0    0
PAR     0    0 400000
RG       0    0    0
SAT      0    0    0
SFS      0    0    0
TUB      0    0    0

```

```

[*,HAM,ESM,*]
:      Bran Oil  Soy  :=
ITQ     0    0    0
PAR    224000 0    0
RG       0    0    0
SAT      0    0    0
SFS      0    0    0
TUB    80000 0    0

```

```

[*,HAM,PROD,*]
:      Bran Oil  Soy  :=
ITQ     0    0    0
PAR     0    0    0
RG       0    0    0
SAT      0    0    0
SFS      0    0    0
TUB      0    0    0

```

```

[*,ROT,ARM,*]
:      Bran Oil  Soy  :=
ITQ     0    0    0
PAR     0    0    0
RG       0    0    0
SAT      0    0    0
SFS      0    0    0
TUB      0    0 300000

```

```

[*,ROT,ESM,*]
:      Bran Oil  Soy  :=
ITQ     0    0    0
PAR     0    0    0

```

RG	0	0	0
SAT	0	0	0
SFS	0	0	0
TUB	0	0	0

```

[*,ROT,PROD,*]
:      Bran Oil Soy  :=
ITQ      0  0  0
PAR      0  0  0
RG       0  0  0
SAT      0  0  0
SFS      0  0  0
TUB      0  0  0

```

```

[*,SHG,ARM,*]
:      Bran Oil Soy  :=
ITQ      0  0  0
PAR      0  0  0
RG       0  0  0
SAT      0  0  0
SFS      0  0  0
TUB      0  0  0

```

```

[*,SHG,ESM,*]
:      Bran Oil Soy  :=
ITQ      0  0  0
PAR      0  0  0
RG       0  0  0
SAT      0  0  0
SFS      0  0  0
TUB      0  0  0

```

```

[*,SHG,PROD,*]
:      Bran Oil Soy  :=
ITQ      0  0  0
PAR      0  0  0
RG       0  0  0
SAT      0  0  0
SFS      0  0  0
TUB      0  0  0;

```

6) Resultados do modelo para Z = 1 terminal especializado

```

sw: ampl
ampl: model MOD4_V17_multicommodity.mod;
ampl: data MOD4_V17_multicommodity.dat;
ampl: solve;
MINOS 5.5: ignoring integrality of 24 variables
MINOS 5.5: optimal solution found.
59 iterations, objective 115214000
ampl: option solver cplex;
ampl: solve;
CPLEX 8.0.0: optimal integer solution; objective 115214000
132 MIP simplex iterations
0 branch-and-bound nodes
ampl: option display_round 0;
ampl: display Xin;
Xin [*,*,ARM,Soy]
:      ITQ   PAR   RG SAT SFS TUB   :=
ACAI    0     0    0  0  0  0
ARAG    0     0    0  0  0  0
CG      0 20000  0  0  0  0
CUI     0 150000  0  0  0  0
DOUR    0     0    0  0  0  0
LOND    0 230000  0  0  0  0

[*,*,ESM,Soy]
:      ITQ   PAR   RG SAT SFS TUB   :=
ACAI    0     0    0  0  0  0
ARAG    0     0    0  0  0  0
CG      0 280000  0  0  0  0
CUI     0     0    0  0  0  0
DOUR    0     0    0  0  0  0
LOND    0     0    0  0  0  0

[*,*,PROD,Soy]
:      ITQ   PAR   RG SAT SFS TUB   :=
ACAI    0     0    0  0  0  0
ARAG    0     0    0  0  0  0
CG      0     0    0  0  0  0
CUI     0 100000  0  0  0  0
DOUR    0     0    0  0  0  0
LOND    0     0    0  0  0  0;

ampl: display Xout;
Xout [*,HAM,ARM,*]
:      Bran   Oil   Soy   :=
ITQ     0     0     0
PAR     0     0 400000
RG       0     0     0
SAT      0     0     0
SFS      0     0     0
TUB      0     0     0

[*,HAM,ESM,*]
:      Bran   Oil   Soy   :=
ITQ     0     0     0
PAR 224000  0     0
RG       0     0     0
SAT      0     0     0
SFS      0     0     0

```

TUB 0 0 0

[:,HAM,PROD,]

:	Bran	Oil	Soy	:=
ITQ	0	0	0	
PAR	0	20000	0	
RG	0	0	0	
SAT	0	0	0	
SFS	0	0	0	
TUB	0	0	0	

[:,ROT,ARM,]

:	Bran	Oil	Soy	:=
ITQ	0	0	0	
PAR	0	0	0	
RG	0	0	0	
SAT	0	0	0	
SFS	0	0	0	
TUB	0	0	0	

[:,ROT,ESM,]

:	Bran	Oil	Soy	:=
ITQ	0	0	0	
PAR	0	0	0	
RG	0	0	0	
SAT	0	0	0	
SFS	0	0	0	
TUB	0	0	0	

[:,ROT,PROD,]

:	Bran	Oil	Soy	:=
ITQ	0	0	0	
PAR	0	0	0	
RG	0	0	0	
SAT	0	0	0	
SFS	0	0	0	
TUB	0	0	0	

[:,SHG,ARM,]

:	Bran	Oil	Soy	:=
ITQ	0	0	0	
PAR	0	0	0	
RG	0	0	0	
SAT	0	0	0	
SFS	0	0	0	
TUB	0	0	0	

[:,SHG,ESM,]

:	Bran	Oil	Soy	:=
ITQ	0	0	0	
PAR	0	0	0	
RG	0	0	0	
SAT	0	0	0	
SFS	0	0	0	
TUB	0	0	0	

```

[*,SHG,PROD,*]
:      Bran Oil Soy  :=
ITQ    0  0  0
PAR    0  0  0
RG     0  0  0
SAT    0  0  0
SFS    0  0  0
TUB    0  0  0 ;
```